## **REMARKS**

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-26. However, the Examiner also indicated that claims 1-26 would be allowable by filing a terminal disclaimer and amending the claims to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 101. By this paper, the Applicant hereby amends claims 1, 8, 16, and 20 for clarification of certain features to expedite allowance of the present application. These amendments do not add any new matter. Upon entry of these amendments, claims 1-26 remain pending in the present application and are believed to be in condition for allowance. In view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks, the Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of all pending claims.

## Claim Rejections under Doctrine of Obviousness-Type Double Patenting

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-26 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over claims 29-49 of U.S. Patent No. 6,732,219, which is the parent of the present continuing application. Although the Applicant does not necessarily agree that the present claims 1-26 are obvious over claims 29-49 of the parent application, the Applicant hereby submits the enclosed terminal disclaimer to expedite allowance of the present application.

## Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 101

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1 and 3-26 under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Specifically the Examiner stated that the claimed tangible medium does not indicate that the medium is computer readable or operating on a computer. Although the Applicant does not necessarily agree with the Examiner's rejection, the Applicant hereby amends the independent claims 1, 8, 16, and 20 to expedite allowance of the present application. Specifically, the Applicant hereby amends independent claims 1 and 8 to recite, *inter alia*, "the tangible medium is computer readable." Similarly, the Applicant hereby amends independent claim 16 to recite, *inter alia*, "the means for analyzing and the means for

Serial No. 10/796,627 Amendment and Response to Office Action

Mailed August 28, 2006

selecting are disposed on a computer readable medium." Finally, the Applicant hereby

amends independent claim 20 to recite, inter alia, a "computer-implemented method." In

view of these amendments and the enclosed terminal disclaimer, the Applicant

respectfully requests allowance of all pending claims.

**Conclusion** 

The Applicant respectfully submits that all pending claims should be in condition

for allowance. However, if the Examiner believes certain amendments are necessary to

clarify the present claims or if the Examiner wishes to resolve any other issues by way of

a telephone conference, the Examiner is kindly invited to contact the undersigned

attorney at the telephone number indicated below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: November 20, 2006

Tait R. Swanson

Registration No. 48,226

(281) 970-4545

**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY** 

Intellectual Property Administration

P.O. Box 272400

Fort Collins, Colorado 80527-2400

Page 8 of 8